

#### Article

## How we reduced construction review time on a major design-build infrastructure project

The Kosciuszko Bridge (K-Bridge) is a critical component of New York City's transportation infrastructure. As one of the most heavily traveled roads in New York City, it carries nearly 200,000 vehicles between Brooklyn and Queens each day. The bridge was originally built in 1939 and designed to accommodate a fraction of New York City's current day traffic. In 2014 the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) determined that replacing the K-Bridge was a necessary investment in the safety and quality of life for the communities it serves.

Massive design-build projects with strict deadlines, underground engineering, and multiple stakeholders – including contractors, the <u>DOT</u>, engineers, and owners – are challenging and complex. The first phase of the \$550 million <u>Kosciuszko Bridge Replacement Project</u>, which involved designing the 1.1 mile stretch of the Brooklyn-Queens Expressway (BQE) Connector, was a prime example. The Skanska/Kiewit/ECCO III team in association with HNTB and supported by Haley & Aldrich was awarded the design-build contract for Phase One of the project.



While there were countless places where this fast-paced, complex design-build project could have gone wrong, we learned that with thorough preplanning and analysis to identify and understand risks, a deep understanding and anticipation of project stakeholder needs, and transparent collaboration between stakeholders, success was possible.

### The big hurdles

Minimizing costly surprises were a concern, but the risks weren't just financial. Ensuring public safety and minimal disruption to the traffic flow and surrounding community were of the utmost concern. In fact, NYSDOT required that traffic continue to flow throughout the project.

To meet strict deadlines on this very public, high-dollar project, attention to schedules and approval milestones were essential. Our team knew we needed to minimize design review and approval. Without a well-thought-out plan in place, the review and approval process could have brought the project to a halt.

# Project facts: Phase One of the Kosciuszko Bridge replacement project -

Challenges:

- Disruption of traffic flow and public safety
- Total settlement of the existing BQE
- Sensitivity of the existing structure to differential settlement of adjacent columns
- Increased stiffness of the superstructure associated with confinement
- Drag forces imposed by settling fills in contact with the existing columns

Together, the team replaced the Brooklyn Connector in four stages:

- Placed fill embankment in stages beneath the existing BQE to the greatest extent possible
- Shifted traffic to the newly constructed BQE Brooklyn Connector
- Demolished the existing structure in longitudinal stages south to north
- Allowed embankment placement throughout early stages of the build

Value delivered:

• Advance notice of where work could proceed and where remedial actions might be needed so schedule changes were not a surprise



- Filling operations controlled to maintain the structural integrity of the approach
- No traffic interruptions or safety incidents

### Critical components that drove success

To meet the owner's needs and keep traffic flowing during construction, the design-build team identified a creative approach that would allow for construction to occur beneath the existing BQE structure while traffic proceeded above. Before work began, the team planned the progression of the <u>bridge work</u> to consider every risk that could occur. The existing approach viaduct piers were founded on spread footings and the weight of the new fill would cause settlement – the question was how significant and how distributed. We clearly defined all potential issues and risks through "what if" scenario planning and statistical analysis. This included designing a robust geotechnical monitoring and instrumentation system that monitored soil settlements and vibrations of the new and existing bridge structures during construction.



We created contingency plans for issues that could arise, including what we would do if certain measures we put in place failed. With this approach, we got ahead of all potential risks before <u>bridge work</u> began, which allowed us to conduct the work more efficiently once we started. We were also able to conduct construction in stages below and adjacent to the existing viaduct without road closures. The robust system provided peace of mind for the owner and



other stakeholders and was critical to our team's success.

Another significant part of the project was creating an environment where the owner had visibility into the design process. We accomplished this by first understanding what the owner valued – in this case efficiency and safety were paramount.

With that understanding we created an environment of open collaboration between the owner, engineer, and contractor, which consisted of structured, frequent communication. For example, we invited the owner to listen in on design meetings between the engineers and contractors — called "over the shoulder" meetings. The owner could see each step of the design, so they knew what to expect and could quickly intervene if needed.

As a result of the collaboration and transparency, we ultimately shortened the design review cycle time by getting the owner's input and approval more efficiently.

The team's well-planned strategy focused on thorough pre-planning and risk identification, deep understanding and anticipation of key project stakeholder needs, and transparent communication between stakeholders. Ultimately this allowed the team to anticipate potential pitfalls, reduce design review time, and ensure the safety of travelers and the community. For more detail on this project, read our:

- Technical paper presented at GeoCongress 2019
- Project summary

